Proactive bug finding

Take advantage of the Debian architecture to find bugs

Sam Hocevar (sam@zoy.org) 22 June 2007 DebConf[°]07, Edinburgh

Summary

• find bugs in the sources • search the code • gcc warnings explained • build & buildd logs • bugs in binary packages • Debian QA tools • find regressions runtime bugs • test suites • fuzzing

Part 1

find bugs in the sources

Code search

• full audits

- expensive (time, skills, tools)
- only worth it for critical components
- Debian Security Audit Project http://www.debian.org/security/audit/
- definitely needed, but...
- partial audits, quick skimming
 - cheap, fast, automated
 - 20% of the energy to find 80% of the bugs
 - by no ways perfect, but finding a bug never hurts
 - grep the code, grep the build logs

A common bug (1)

void write_long(char *buffer, long i)

long * tmp = buffer; tmp[0] = i;

may work depending on buffer, but may crash at random on arm, sparc...
gcc emits a warning: warning: initialization from incompatible pointer type

A common bug (2)

void write_long(char *buffer, long i)

long * tmp = (long *)buffer; tmp[0] = i;

generates the same code
gcc doesn't say anything!
this kind of bug needs to be searched directly in the code: grep '(*long *\(int *\|\)*)'

A common bug (3)

void write_long(char *buffer, long i)
{

memcpy(buffer, &i, 4);

- no alignment issues now
- gcc will inline memcpy() for speed
 but will only write half the long integer on sparc, amd64...
- cannot be automatically found, but a few clever regexes can help

A common bug (4)

void write_long(char *buffer, long i)
{
 memcpy(buffer, &i, sizeof(i));

• this is one correct way to do it

bonus hint: use #include <stdint.h>
guaranteed int8_t, int32_t, etc.
use it in new applications

• can be useful to port old iz86 applications

Static code search

• unpack the whole source archive 1. get a big hard drive (at least 110GiB) 2. use debmirror 3. untar everything • don't forget tarball-in-tarball packages • grep through the code • think of all filenames (.C, .cpp, .c++, . CXX...) • or just grep through everything for safety • use trial and error to think of ways to get rid of false positives

Google Code Search (1)

http://codesearch.google.com/

- uses regexes, not usual Google syntax
- incredibly fast
- has limitations, though
 - far from having all the code that's in Debian
 - no multiline search
 - no easy way to ignore false positives

Google Code Search (2)



\(int\ **\).*char

Search Advanced Code Search

Code

Results 1 - 10 of about 8,000. (0.21 seconds)

mozilla/security/nss/lib/util/quickder.c - 42 identical

498: /* set the type in the union here */
int *which = (int *)((char *)dest + templateEntry->offset);
*which = (int)choiceEntry->size;

<u>ftp.mozilla.org/.../mozilla-source-1.7.6.tar.bz2</u> - <u>Mozilla</u> - C

httpd-2.0.59/srclib/apr-iconv/lib/iconv_ces_iso2022.c - 53 identical

```
86: ces->data = state;
state->shift_tab = (int*)((char*)state + stsz);
state->org_shift_tab = ces->desc->data;
```

www.ibiblio.org/.../httpd-2.0.59-win32-src.zip - BSD - C

cpio-2.6/lib/argp-help.c - 14 identical

```
197: else
*(int *)((char *)&uparams + un->uparams_offs) = val;
break;
```

Static analysis tools in Debian

• rats

- does C, C++, PHP, Perl, Python
- rather limited but still finds a lot of things

• pscan

- only C, focuses on format strings
- jlint
 - checks Java code

pychecker

- checks Python code
- Google "static code analysis" for more

Compiler warnings

• what do they tell? ambiguities, errors in the code • not always bugs • but they're emitted for a reason • why should you look at them? • because your upstream doesn't have access to our variety of different architectures • know what they mean first blindly bypassing them could create bugs

Activate compiler warnings (1)

• which warnings do I want? gcc has some warnings by default • you always want -Wall • -W can be useful • unused arguments • weird C or C++ constructs lots of other useful ones -Wpointer-arith -Wcast-align -Wshadow -Wnested-externs -Wstrict-prototypes -Waggregate-return -Wmissing-prototypes -Wcast-qual -Wsign-compare... seldom activated by upstream

Activate compiler warnings (2)

 autotools packages • in debian/rules: CFLAGS="-Wall -W -Whatever -g" CFLAGS="\$(CFLAGS)" ./configure ... • the package may override the flags • other packages • on a case by case basis • usually setting CFLAGS at build time works

Activate compiler warnings (3)

what if upstream doesn't cooperate?
weird build systems
output redirected to /dev/null (eg. libtool)
makewrap: LD_PRELOAD mechanism
LD PRELOAD=makewrap.so debian/rules

wraps calls to execve(), execvp()
adds missing compiler warning flags
prevents /dev/null redirection
will be released soon(ish)

makewrap in action

then mv -f ".deps/libmp4_plugin_la-mp4.Tpo" ".deps/libmp4_plugin_la-mp4.Plo"; \
else rm -f ".deps/libmp4_plugin_la-mp4.Tpo"; exit 1; \

fi

mkdir .libs

ia64-linux-gnu-gcc -DHAVE_CONFIG_H -I. -I. -I../../. -DSYS_LINUX -I../../../include -D_FILE_
*** makewrap warning *** "ia64-linux-gnu-gcc" called with "-Wall", adding "-W -Wsign-compare"
if /bin/sh ../../../libtool --mode=compile ia64-linux-gnu-gcc -DHAVE_CONFIG_H -I. -I. -I../../

-c -o libmp4_plugin_la-libmp4.lo `test -f 'libmp4.c' || echo './'`libmp4.c; \
then mv -f ".deps/libmp4_plugin_la-libmp4.Tpo" ".deps/libmp4_plugin_la-libmp4.Plo"; \
else rm -f ".deps/libmp4_plugin_la-libmp4.Tpo"; exit 1; \

fi

ia64-linux-gnu-gcc -DHAVE_CONFIG_H -I. -I. -I../../.. -DSYS_LINUX -I../../../include -D_FILE_
*** makewrap warning *** "ia64-linux-gnu-gcc" called with "-Wall", adding "-W -Wsign-compare"
libmp4.c: In function 'MP4_ReadBox_url':

libmp4.c:698: warning: comparison between signed and unsigned

libmp4.c:698: warning: signed and unsigned type in conditional expression

libmp4.c:698: warning: comparison between signed and unsigned

libmp4.c:698: warning: signed and unsigned type in conditional expression

libmp4.c:698: warning: comparison between signed and unsigned

libmp4.c:698: warning: signed and unsigned type in conditional expression

libmp4.c: In function 'MP4_ReadBox_urn':

libmp4.c:720: warning: comparison between signed and unsigned

libmp4.c:720: warning: signed and unsigned type in conditional expression

libmp4.c:720: warning: comparison between signed and unsigned

libmp4.c:720: warning: signed and unsigned type in conditional expression

libmp4.c:720: warning: comparison between signed and unsigned

libmp4.c:720: warning: signed and unsigned type in conditional expression

Other compiler warnings (1)

- implicit declaration of function
 'foo'
 - usually a missing header include
 - compiler will assume foo() returns int
 what if foo() actually returns a pointer?
 - compiler will infer argument types
 what if an implicit cast was expected?

Other compiler warnings (2)

- suggest parentheses around assignment used as truth value
 not a bug, but ignoring it could make you
 - ignore other bugs
 - if you mean if (x = 5), use if ((x = 5))
- 'x' might be used uninitialized in this function

• only static variables are initialised to zero

Why use the buildd logs?

• all the data is in one place • http://buildd.debian.org/ • text, easily greppable • they have all the architectures • ...except yours; it would be nice to have our own build logs available, too • builds are not necessarily consistent across architectures (pointer sizes vary, system headers vary)



Part 2

Debian QA tools: lintian

• what it does

- checks source and binary packages
- interprets the Debian policy
- machine-readable output

E: libk1: shlib-with-executable-bit lib/libk.so.1 0755

• easily automated (lintian.debian.org)

Create lintian checks

• the lintian process

- unpacks packages in a laboratory
- adds meta-information to the lab (list of scripts, objdump information...)
- runs checks on the lab contents
- what is a check?
 - •/usr/share/lintian/checks/blah
 - Perl code implementing run()
 - runs on the lab contents
 - calls the tag subroutine when errors are found
 - •/usr/share/lintian/checks/blah.desc
 - verbose description of the tags

Improve lintian.debian.org

add a history to answer useful queries which warnings/errors appeared in my last upload? in the last lintian upgrade? • which package uploads fixed a given tag? • which packages saw the same tags appear? can I help fix them the same way? • how to implement this? • SQL database • use mole? • proof of concept here: svn://svn.debian.org/svn/sam-hocevar/lintian

New interface example

Lintian report history for foiltex

foiltex 2.1.4a-6 (lintian 1.23.28)

- W: foiltex source: out-of-date-standards-version 3.6.2 (current is 3.7.2)
- E: foiltex source: <u>build-depends-indep-should-be-build-depends</u> debhelper

foiltex 2.1.4a-5 (lintian 1.23.28)

- W: foiltex source: out-of-date-standards-version 3.6.2 (current is 3.7.2) new in this version
- E: foiltex source: <u>build-depends-indep-should-be-build-depends</u> debhelper

foiltex 2.1.4a-3 (lintian 1.23.28)

- W: foiltex source: package-uses-deprecated-debhelper-compat-version 3 fixed in next version
- W: foiltex source: out-of-date-standards-version 3.5.10 (current is 3.7.2) fixed in next version
- E: foiltex source: build-depends-indep-should-be-build-depends debhelper

Debian QA tools: linda

very similar to lintian
same output format
different language (Python)
slightly different checks
which one should I use?
both, of course

Create linda checks

• the linda process similar to lintian (lab + checks) linda checks •/usr/share/linda/checks/blah.py • Python class deriving from LindaChecker • runs on the lab contents • calls signal error when errors are found /usr/share/linda/data/blah.data • list of tag types (warnings, errors...) /usr/share/linda/po/{en,de,..}.gmo • verbose and i18n'ed descriptions of the tags

Why create new checks?

- it's not only about the policy
 - general QA stuff
 - transitions
- examples
 - packages with a menu file but no .desktop
 - packages with no icons
 - X-Vcs control fields
 - some ignored DEB_BUILD_OPTIONS flags
 - extract font copyright information
 - [insert your own personal crusade here]

Debian QA tools: piuparts

• how does it work? • debootstraps a minimal system • installs package • removes package • tests for cruft or errors • can check upgrades or mass-upgrades • it takes time, but you should use it! (come on, everyone already knows you don't test your own packages)

Extending piuparts

• why?

- because the framework is here
- check for robustness before the user can
- what?
 - corrupt /var/cache, see what happens
 - check packages with /bin/sh set to zsh, bash...
 - not necessarily "bugs" for the policy, but often worth fixing
- how?
 - I don't know yet...



Test suites

upstream software sometimes has them

- can be activated at build time? do it!
- tired of rebuilding your package? implement
 DEB_BUILD_OPTIONS=nocheck (#416450)

- you can create one yourself
 - not really your job
 - but bugs linked with other packages might reappear

Fuzzing

• the idea

alter a program's input and watch its behaviour

expose bugs

- data is often user-contributed (web, e-mail)
- file parsers, interpreters are complicated
- can have security implications

quick

- still not the ultimate bug-finding solution
- but any bug found is worth fixing

Presenting zzuf

• LD PRELOAD fuzzing approach • no modification or recompilation required • can fuzz files, but also DVDs, network... • fully automated • checks for SIGSEGV. SIGABRT... • checks for memory usage • checks for infinite loops reproducible behaviour • can be used in batch mode until a bug is found • ideal for testsuites

zzuf example (1) - cat



ABCDEFGXIJKLMN0PQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz 0123456789

16/02 1:42 sam@poukram /tmp% 🗌

zzuf example (2) - cat



zzuf example (3) - file

```
16/02 2:09 sam@poukram /tmp% zzuf -d -r0.001 file /bin/ls
** zzuf debug ** libzzuf initialised for PID 27060
** zzuf debug ** fopen64("/etc/magic", "r") = [3]
** zzuf debug ** fgets(0xbfbea6ef, 8192, [3]) = 0xbfbca6ef
** zzuf debug ** fgets(0xbfbea6ef, 8192, [3]) = 0xbfbea6ef
** zzuf debug ** fgets(0xbfbea6ef, 8192, [3]) = 0xbfbea6ef
** zzuf debug ** fgets(0xbfbea6ef, 8192, [3]) = NULL
** zzuf debug ** fclose([3]) = 0
<u>** zzuf debug *</u>* open64("/usr/share/file/magic.mgc", 0) = 3
** zzuf debug ** mmap64(NULL, 1012224, 3, 2, 3, 0) = 0xb792b008_"\x1c\x04\x1e\xf
1...
** zzuf debug ** close(3) = 0
** zzuf debug ** open64("/bin/ls", 0) = 3
** zzuf debug ** read(3, 0xb78e3008, 262144) 🔪 77352 "\x7fELF...
** zzuf debug ** close(3) = 0
/bin/ls: ERROR: cannot happen: invalid relation `🕼'
16/02 2:09 sam@poukram /tmp%
```

zzuf example (4) - file

16/02 2:30 sam(poukram /tmp% zzuf -s0:5 -r0.01 -E/etc -E/usr/share file /bin/ls
/bin/ls: ELF 32-bit LSB executable, Intel 80386, version 1 (SYSV), dynamically l
inked (uses shared libs), corrupted section header ze
/bin/ls: ELF 32-bit LSB executable, (SYSV), static ry linked (uses shared libs)
, stripped
/bin/ls: data
/bin/ls: data
/bin/ls: ELF 32-bit LSB executable, Intel 80386, version 1 (SYSV), bad note name
size 0x80000061, dynamically linked, stripped
16/02 2:30 sam(poukram /tmp% []

zzuf example (5) - giftopnm

16/02 9:13 sam(poukram /tmp% zzuf -q -s0:1000 -r0.001:0.1 giftopnm image.gif zzuf[s=19,r=0.001:0.1]: signal 11 (SIGSEGV) [1] 5328 exit 1 zzuf -q -s0:1000 -r0.001:0.1 giftopnm image.gif 16, 9:13 sam(poukram /tmp% zzuf -s19 -r0.001:0.1 < image.gif > fuzzed.gif 16, 9:13 sam(poukram /tmp% giftopnm fuzzed.gif [1] 5389 segmentation fault giftopnm fuzzed.gif 16/02 9:13 sam(poukram /tmp%

zzuf example (6) - antiword

16/02 9:06 sam(poukram /tmp% zzuf -C10 -q -s0:10000 -r0.001:0.02 -M1000 antiword worddocument.doc *** glibc detected *** double free or corruption (!prev): 0x0807a020 *** zzuf[s=19,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 6 (SIGABRT) zzuf[s=98,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 11 (SIGSEGV) zzuf[s=109,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 11 (SIGSEGV) *** glibc detected *** double free or corruption (out): 0x0807a020 *** zzuf[s=140,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 6 (SIGABRT) *** glibc detected *** double free or corruption__out): 0x0807a020 *** zzuf[s=188,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 6 (SIGABRT) zzuf[s=214,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 9 (memory exceeding) *** glibc detected *** double free or corruption (!prev): 0x0807a020 *** zzuf[s=256,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 6 (SIGABRT) zzuf[s=269,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 11 (SIGSEGV) zzuf[s=270,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 9 (memory exceeded?) zzuf[s=283,r=0.001:0.02]: signal 9 (memory exceeded?) 2818 exit 1 zzuf -C10 -q -s0:10000 -r0.001:0.02 -M1000 antiword wordd [1] ocument.doc

16/02 9:06 sam@poukram /tmp%

Other fuzzing software

• hachoir

- http://hachoir.org/
- multiple purpose fuzzing, like zzuf
- far cleverer than random fuzzing, attacks with knowledge of the file format
- has parsers for many file formats
- WebFuzzer (SQL injection, XSS), ISIC (IP stacks), SPIKEFile, radiusfuzzer, fuzz, netsed (network)...
- Google for "fuzzing", "fuzz testing", "fault injection"...

Fuzzing as a testsuite

• why do this?

- cheap way to create a testsuite
- build-depend on a fuzzer, test at build-time
- we have different architectures with different bugs and behaviours
- using a different random seed each time means better chances to find a bug

a few warnings

- be reasonable, don't stress the buildds!
- think before deciding to make the build fail

Test suites for GUI apps

use the xvfb package has an xvfb-run script warnings

- you may need additional build dependencies
- be sure your application exits!

Thanks!

• Any questions?

Slides available on http://sam.zoy.org/lectures/